



### EPAAC – WP 10 progress report

#### Tit Albreht, Marjetka Jelenc National Institute for Public Health of Slovenia



#### WP10 key deliverables

- 1. Report on the questionnaires on the National Cancer (Control) Plans/Programmes
- 2. Preparation of guidelines for a high quality NC(C)P.
- 3. Selection and compilation of indicators for NCCPs

### **Development of the report**

- Joint work of three groups of participants:
   National Institute of Public Health of Slovenia
  - Research team from the University of Valencia
  - Core Working Group (CWG) for WP10

# Drafting and improving the report

- Circulating the questionnaire at the end of January 2011
- Period of collection of questionnaires until May/June 2011
- Preparation of the first draft report until the beginning of June 2011
- Preparation of the second draft report until September 2011

# Commenting and supplementing the report

- One month after the Open Forum in Madrid
- Two months after the preparation of the next version of the report
- Commenting the report at the Steering Committee Meeting in Ljubljana
- One more month for comments
- Preparation of the final draft report on 12
  December 2011

# Proposing two different deliverables

- At the meeting of the CWG in Malta in February 2012, it was decided that we would propose the following:
  - A report on the questionnaire on NCPs that would be more technical and would focus on the response analysis
  - A full analysis of the questionnaire with the background, methodology, conclusions and recommendations

# Structure of the technical report

- The first approach comprehensive and inclusive of all aspects
- The revised approach focusing on the results of the questionnaire's responses
- Additional background and rationale + tabulation of findings obtained

# Proposing a book on NCPs

- The second deliverable on NCPs should be published as a book and provide a full report together with the background and offering conclusions and recommendations
- It would offer an opportunity to prepare some of the chapters more consistently
- We will work very closely with the EOHSP and all WP Leaders to prepare consistent

contents

### Next steps ...

- Collecting comments, amendments and corrections arriving before, at and shortly after the SC in Berlin
- Preparing the final version of the technical report and circulating it mid-April
- Continuing the work on the book and finalising it by summer 2013

# Work on the guidelines and indicators

- The remaining deliverables on the WP10 are the ones on guidelines for NCPs and on indicators for their evaluation and monitoring
- The work on guidelines and indicators started within the CWG this February
- It was decided that decisions on the indicators would be preceded by a consistent work on the guidelines

# Approach to the guidelines

- Listing the most important dimensions/chapters of the NCPs and including the key aspects to be included
- Examples:

#### **Burden of Cancer**

- Present situation
- Epidemiology: incidence, rates, deaths, time trends, prevalence, YPLL
- Disease-specific rates and epidemiology (first 5-7 cancers)
- Comparison with other health needs

## Approach to the guidelines 2.

- CWG will work closely with WP Leaders in order to ensure completeness of the dimensions and aspects included in the guidelines and their consistency
- Guidelines should be finalised by the spring SC of 2013 and adopted there

### Approach to the indicators

- Supporting the chapters, areas and dimensions elaborated with the guidelines
- Governance aspects are a crucial criterion
- Indicators that have already been developed are those that shall be selected
- Presentation of the indicator list should be no extra burden to the member states

### Approach to the indicators 2.

- Continuing the work in parallel with the development of guidelines
- Finalising the indicator list by the final SC in 2013
- Inclusion of the guidelines and indicators in the final book on the NCPs as described before

### Thanks

 We would like to thank all member states for their continued efforts in supporting the work of this WP, in particular those supporting the CWG – Belgium, Ireland, Italy, Malta, the Netherlands and Slovenia