

Headline writers and advertisers can opt for impact over clarity, leaving the public confused about cancer risks and prevention. This makes it all the more important that those of us whose priority is to inform people and help them make the best choices are clear and consistent in the way we do it. This editorial looks at how to present statistical information in a way that is easy for readers to interpret and apply, and calls on everyone involved writing educational material and press releases to make a conscious effort to use the most effective statistical formats.

What do you think?

- Could more consistent use of statistics that give absolute instead of (or as well as) relative risk/benefit help people make better sense of information about cancer?
- Is the medical community ever guilty of (mis)using statistics to grab attention, even though this may add to the widespread confusion about cancer?
- How can we do things better?

You can read the editorial [here](#) . Press the comment button to share your views.